1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California		
2	MICHAEL R. GRANEN, State Bar No. 63350 Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702		
3			
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2537 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804		
6	Attorneys for Complainant		
7			
8	BEFORE THE		
9	CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. AC-2004-29	
12	RICHARD R. ROSS 1613 E. Glenhaven Dr.	OAH No.	
13	Phoenix, AZ 85048	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER	
14	Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. 61876	[Gov. Code, §11520]	
15	Respondent.	[001. 0000, 3.1.2.2]	
16	<u>FINDINGS OF FACT</u>		
17	1. On or about September 13, 2004, Complainant Carol Sigmann, in her		
18	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the California Board of Accountancy, Department of		
19	Consumer Affairs, filed Accusation No. AC-2004-29 against Richard R. Ross (Respondent)		
20	before the California Board of Accountancy.		
21	2. On or about May 14, 1992, the California Board of Accountancy (Board)		
22	issued Certified Public Accountant License No. CPA 61876 to Respondent. The Certified Public		
23	Accountant License expired on December 1, 2002, and has not been renewed.		
24	On or about September 29, 2004, Rebeca Garcia, an employee of the		
25	Department of Justice, served by Certified and First Class Mail a copy of the Accusation No.		
26	AC-2004-29, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and		
27	Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7 to Respondent's address of record		
28	with the Board, which was and is1613 E. Glenhave Drive, Phoenix, AZ 85048. A copy of the		

Accusation, the related documents, and Declaration of Service are attached as exhibit A, and are incorporated herein by reference.

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).
- 5. On or about October 16, 2004, the aforementioned documents were returned by the U.S. Postal Service marked "Unclaimed." A copy of the postal returned documents are incorporated herein by reference.
 - 6. Business and Professions Code section 118 states, in pertinent part:
- "(b) The suspension, expiration, or forfeiture by operation of law of a license issued by a board in the department, or its suspension, forfeiture, or cancellation by order of the board or by order of a court of law, or its surrender without the written consent of the board, shall not, during any period in which it may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated, deprive the board of its authority to institute or continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order suspending or revoking the license or otherwise taking disciplinary action against the license on any such ground."
 - 7. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
- "(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing."
- 8. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. AC-2004-29.
 - 9. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
 - "(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent."

serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on

within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion

26

27

28

111

1	may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the		
2	statute.		
3	This Decision shall become effective on April 22, 2005		
4	It is so ORDERED March 23, 2005		
5	Tanh M S		
6			
7	FOR THE CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24	Ross-default.wpd		
25	1/4/05 - lbf DOJ docket number:LA2004600821		
26			
27	Attachments:		
28	Exhibit A: Accusation No.AC-2004-29, Related Documents, and Declaration of Service		

Exhibit A

Accusation No. AC-2004-29, Related Documents and Declaration of Service

- 1			
1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California		
2	MICHAEL R. GRANEN, State Bar No. 63350 Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702		
3			
4 5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2537		
	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804		
6 7	Attorneys for Complainant		
	DEFORE 7	rite.	
8	BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9			
10			
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. AC-2004-29	
12	RICHARD R. ROSS 1613 E. Glenhaven Drive	OAH No.	
13	Phoenix, AZ 85048	ACCUSATION	
14	Certified Public Accountant License No CPA 61876		
15	Respondent.		
16			
17	Complainant alleges:	•	
18	<u>PARTIES</u>		
19	1. Carol Sigmann (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official		
20	capacity as the Executive Officer of the California Board of Accountancy (Board), Department of		
21	Consumer Affairs.		
22	2. On or about May 14, 1992, the Board issued Certified Public Accountant		
23	License No. CPA 61876 to Richard R. Ross (Respondent). The certificate was in an active status		
24	for the period May 14, 1992 through November 30, 1992. The certificate was expired and was		
25	not valid during the period December 1, 1992 through December 13, 1992. Effective December		
26	14, 1992, the certificate was renewed through November 30, 1994, upon receipt of the renewal		
27	fee and declaration of compliance with continuing education requirements. The certificate was		
28	expired and was not valid during the period December 1, 1996 through March 30, 1999.		
		•	

Effective March 31, 1999, the certificate was renewed through November 30, 2000, upon receipt of the renewal fee; however, compliance with continuing education requirements was not met and the certificate was placed in an inactive status. The certificate was renewed for the period December 1, 2000 through November 30, 2002, without continuing education ("inactive.") The certificate expired on December 1, 2002, and is currently in a delinquent status.

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
 - 4. Section 5100 states:

"After notice and hearing the board may revoke, suspend or refuse to renew any permit or certificate granted under Article 4 (commencing with Section 5070) and Article 5 (commencing with Section 5080), or may censure the holder of that permit or certificate for unprofessional conduct which includes, but is not limited to, one or any combination of the following causes:

- "(h) Suspension or revocation of the right to practice before any governmental body or agency."
 - 5. Section 5109 states:

"The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to proceed with any investigation of or action or disciplinary proceeding against the licensee, or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license."

- 6. Section 5063 states:
- (a) A licensee shall report to the board in writing of the occurrence of any of the following events occurring on or after January 1, 1997, within 30 days of the date the licensee has knowledge of these events:

(3) The cancellation, revocation, or suspension of the right to practice as a certified public accountant or a public accountant before any governmental body or agency.

7. Section 5107 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board's Executive Officer may request the administrative law judge, as part of the proposed decision in a disciplinary proceeding, to direct a respondent found to have committed specified acts of unprofessional conduct to pay to the Board all reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case, including, but not limited to, attorney's fees.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Suspension)

- 7. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to section 5100 on the grounds of unprofessional conduct as defined in section 5100(h) by reason of the following facts:
 - a. On or about February 4, 2004, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") issued an order suspending Respondent from appearing or practicing before the SEC as stated in the Administrative Proceeding, File No. 3-11392 entitled In the Matter of Richard R. Ross, CPA.
 - b. From April 1997 to April 2000, Respondent was the chief financial officer of Styling Technology Corporation (Styling). The suspension was based on findings that Respondent engaged in a number of accounting practices that were not in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) and that materially inflated Styling's reported earnings. More specifically, during 1997 and 1998, Respondent directed or approved the recording of revenue from transactions in which Styling did not ship any product or in which the company merely shipped product between warehouses it controlled. In addition, during 1999, Respondent continued to direct or approve the recognition of revenue from sales that did not meet GAAP criteria, and Respondent and others falsely attributed the write-off

of \$4.1 million of uncollectible accounts receivable to a strategic business 1 realignment. 2 SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 3 (Failure to Report Suspension) 4 Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to section 5100 on the 8. 5 grounds of unprofessional conduct in violation of section 5063 in that Respondent failed to report 6 the SEC suspension to the Board within 30 days of the suspension date of February 4, 2004. 7 **PRAYER** 8 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein 9 alleged, and that following the hearing, the California Board of Accountancy issue a decision: 10 Revoking, suspending or otherwise imposing discipline upon Certified 1. 11 Public Accountant License No. CPA 61876, issued to Richard R. Ross. 12 Ordering Richard R. Ross to pay the California Board of Accountancy the 2. 13 reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and 14 Professions Code section 5107; 15 Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 3. 16 Jemper 13, 2004 17 18 19 20 Executive Officer California Board of Accountancy 21 Department of Consumer Affairs State of California 22 Complainant 23 LA2004600821 24 7/26/04 - lbf 25 Ross, Richard Draft Accusation_wpd.DEFANGED-148 26 27

28